## Public Document Pack

# Avon \& Somerset Police and Crime Panel (virtual meetings from April 2020) <br> Thursday 4 February 2021 <br> 10.30 am Microsoft Teams 

## SUPPLEMENT TO THE AGENDA

To: The Members of the Avon \& Somerset Police and Crime Panel (virtual meetings from April 2020)

We are now able to enclose the following information which was unavailable when the agenda was published:

| Item 7 | Formal Review of the Budget and Precept Proposal (Pages 3-8) |
| :--- | :--- |

Published on 01 February 2021
Democratic Services, Somerset County Council, County Hall, Taunton
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## Methodology

Opinions about next year's precept were collected in three different ways. Firstly there was a question in the local Police and Crime Survey which is administered by a third party over the telephone. Although the survey is run every quarter only the quarter three results are relevant as the options available to respondents had to be changed following the Government announcement of the maximum $£ 15$ increase (for a band $D$ home).

Secondly an online survey was hosted on the PCC website and promoted by the Communications team. This survey was open for anybody to complete from $8^{\text {th }}$ December 2020 to $26^{\text {th }}$ January 2021.

The third method was a postal survey. All households in Avon and Somerset were stratified based on the Indices of Multiple Deprivation and their Local Authority. Addresses were then selected at random from these lists. It should be noted that people who received the postal survey were able to complete their responses online but there was an option to tell us they had received a postal copy. Responses from the postal method were received between $20^{\text {th }}-29^{\text {th }}$ January.

The online and postal surveys asked just two questions about the precept followed by demographic/household information; they were not part of a broader suite of questions like the telephone survey.

| Number of responses by medium |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Telephone | 751 |
| Online | 1358 |
| Postal | 1526 |
| Total | 3635 |

This is the single biggest consultation that has been undertaken by this office in relation to the precept for the following year.

## Results

The below table shows the results of the primary question about which level of precept increase people supported. As can be seen the level that attracted most support was the maximum $6.6 \%$ increase. This had 182 more people (5\% points) support this maximum option than those who did not wish to pay any increase.

| Level | Number of responses | \% of all responses |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| I do not wish to pay any increase | 1072 | 29.5 |
| 2\% increase of $38 p$ per month | 454 | 12.5 |
| $5 \%$ increase of $95 p$ per month | 708 | 19.5 |
| $6.6 \%$ increase of $£ 1.25$ per month | 1254 | 34.5 |
| Don't know | 147 | 4.0 |



After asking what level of precept increase they supported respondents were asked why. They were given 12 options and asked to choose as many as were relevant. People being supportive of the police was the most selected response: with 453 more responses ( $12.5 \%$ points) than any other response.

The highest response that was not supportive of an increase (or a bigger increase) was that people felt they already contributed enough.

| Reason | Number of responses | \% of all respondents |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| I am supportive of the police | 992 | 27.3 |
| Already contribute enough | 539 | 14.8 |
| Impact of cuts on policing | 471 | 13.0 |
| Financial constraints / can't afford to | 468 | 12.9 |
| The police budget has already been reduced <br> enough | 463 | 12.7 |
| Should be funded via Central Government | 397 | 10.9 |
| Inefficient / do not provide good value for money | 281 | 7.7 |
| Lack confidence in police | 275 | 7.6 |
| Concerned by reduction in budget on my safety | 252 | 6.9 |
| Would need more information about spend | 247 | 6.8 |
| The police budget is already increasing enough <br> recently via Central Government | 90 | 2.5 |

## About the respondents

In order to understand the types of people responding to the survey there were a series of demographic or household questions. It should be noted that there were additional questions of this type in the online/postal survey compared to the telephone survey.

| Gender | Number | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Female | 1563 | 43.0 |
| Male | 1876 | 51.6 |
| Non-binary | 12 | 0.3 |
| Prefer to self-describe | 4 | 0.1 |
| Prefer not to say | 180 | 5.0 |


| Age | Number | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 16 to 24 | 137 | 3.8 |
| 25 to 34 | 415 | 11.4 |
| 35 to 44 | 556 | 15.3 |
| 45 to 54 | 533 | 14.7 |
| 55 to 64 | 669 | 18.4 |
| 65 to 74 | 677 | 18.6 |
| 75 or above | 471 | 13.0 |
| prefer not to say | 177 | 4.9 |


| Ethnicity | Number | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Asian or Asian British | 28 | 0.8 |
| Bangladeshi | 5 | 0.1 |
| Chinese | 6 | 0.2 |
| Indian | 10 | 0.3 |
| Pakistani | 6 | 0.2 |
| Any other Asian background | 1 | 0.0 |
| Black or Black British | 21 | 0.6 |
| African | 5 | 0.1 |
| Caribbean | 10 | 0.3 |
| Any other Black background | 6 | 0.2 |
| Mixed | 24 | 0.7 |
| White and Asian | 8 | 0.2 |
| White and Black Caribbean | 6 | 0.2 |
| White and Black African | 1 | 0.0 |
| Any other mixed/multiple ethnic background | 9 | 0.2 |
| White | 3268 | 89.9 |
| White - British | 3133 | 86.2 |
| White - Irish | 25 | 0.7 |
| White - Gypsy or Irish traveller | 2 | 0.1 |
| Any other white background | 108 | 3.0 |
| Other | 7 | 0.2 |
| Other ethnic group - Arab | 1 | 0.0 |
| Any other ethnic group | 6 | 0.2 |
| Prefer not to say | 287 | 7.9 |


| Sexuality | Number | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Bisexual | 69 | 1.9 |
| Gay man | 49 | 1.3 |
| Gay woman / lesbian | 23 | 0.6 |
| Heterosexual / straight | 2977 | 81.9 |
| Prefer to self-describe | 25 | 0.7 |
| Prefer not to say | 492 | 13.5 |


| Religion / Faith | Number | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Buddist | 17 | 0.5 |
| Christian | 1607 | 44.2 |
| Hindu | 4 | 0.1 |
| Jewish | 3 | 0.1 |
| Muslim | 6 | 0.2 |
| Sikh | 2 | 0.1 |
| Other | 68 | 1.9 |
| None | 1513 | 41.6 |
| Prefer not to say | 415 | 11.4 |


| Disability | Number | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| No | 2966 | 81.6 |
| Yes | 392 | 10.8 |
| Prefer not to say | 277 | 7.6 |


| Local Authority | Number | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| BANES | 323 | 8.9 |
| Bristol | 662 | 18.2 |
| North Somerset | 531 | 14.6 |
| Somerset | 950 | 26.1 |
| South Gloucestershire | 466 | 12.8 |
| Prefer not to say / invalid | 703 | 19.3 |


| Council tax band* | Number | \% |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| A | 156 | 5.4 |
| B | 333 | 11.5 |
| C | 385 | 13.3 |
| D | 725 | 25.1 |
| E | 232 | 8.0 |
| F | 114 | 4.0 |
| G | 100 | 3.5 |
| H | 16 | 0.6 |
| Don't know | 548 | 19.0 |
| Prefer not to say | 275 | 9.5 |

*This is not asked in the telephone survey

| Indices of Multiple Deprivation <br> Decile | Number | $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  1 <br> 2 178 <br> 3 193 <br> 4 331 <br>  6.1 <br> 5 310 | 11.3 |  |
| 6 | 341 | 11.6 |
| 7 | 365 | 12.5 |
| 8 | 336 | 11.5 |
| 9 | 312 | 10.6 |
| 10 | 448 | 15.3 |

## Learning

It is important to note that this is the first time a postal survey has been used, by this office, in this way. The overall data about the respondents shows us that there is a need to improve the representation, in different respects, across Avon and Somerset. For example younger and non-White British people were under-represented in the response. It has also been noted that the representation differs depending on the medium of the survey delivery.

Further, more in depth analysis, will be undertaken to understand these differences and allow the office to plan how to improve this type of engagement in the future.
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